# Appendix 1

## Proposed Headingley Neighbourhood Area/Forum - summary of representations received

### NEIGHBOURHOOD AREA

| Hyde Park Corner  |   |
|---|---|
| Support for the inclusion of Hyde Park Corner in the Headingley NA  | Response  |
| The North Hyde Park Neighbourhood<br>Association is supportive of the formal<br>recognition for Hyde Park Corner to be<br>included in the Headingley<br>Neighbourhood Plan area.  | Comment noted.  |
| Objections to the inclusion of Hyde Park Corner in the Headingley NA  | Response  |
| <ul> <li>Hyde Park Corner is recognised as the<br/>historical and geographical origin of the<br/>Hyde Park residential district and centre<br/>point of the Hyde Park Area. Historically<br/>the area has never been associated with<br/>Headingley and as such Hyde Park<br/>Corner should be included in the Hyde<br/>Park Neighbourhood Plan not the<br/>Headingley Neighbourhood Plan.</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>The historical and geographical origin of<br/>Hyde Park and Hyde Park corner is<br/>acknowledged and this is an important<br/>consideration in the designation of a<br/>neighbourhood area.</li> </ul> |
| <ul> <li>Hyde Park has its own character both socially and physically, and to class the entire area as Headingley is not representative. The Headingley plan is focused around Headingley town centre, with a large leisure and commercial core, including the Arndale Centre and shopping area on North Lane. Hyde Park consists of smaller shopping areas which, Hyde Park Corner is considered a vital part. Thus Hyde Park Corner which, is considered central to the Hyde Park Neighbourhood Plan, and an important shopping area, should not be within the Headingley area boundary.</li> </ul> | It is agreed that Hyde Park and Headingley are two distinctive areas, although they have a number of characteristics in common  |

- Some local businesses in the area also suggest the area should be part of the Hyde Park Neighbourhood area, not Headingley.
- Additionally, the boundary of Woodhouse Moor flows into three corners of Hyde Park Corner, suggesting the area should be a part of the Hyde Park Neighbourhood area.
- Previous precedents that formed planning legislation documents, including the original Headingley and Hyde Park neighbourhood design statement, affirmed the boundaries as articulated by the Hyde Park Interim Neighbourhood Forum, in particular the inclusion of Hyde Park Corner, Cliff Lane and Cliff Road within the Hyde Park boundary not the Headingley boundary.
- North Hyde Park corner is already designated and protected as a conservation area, question the inclusion in a neighbourhood area.

- Comment noted.
- Comment noted.

• It is noted that the Hyde Park Character Area identified in the NDS includes Hyde Park corner and much of the proposed Hyde Park Neighbourhood Area.

 A CA is limited in scope. A NP can be used as a wider planning and regeneration tool.

| Other issues raised                                       | Response  |
|---|---|
| <ul> <li>Grosvenor Road should form the Eastern</li></ul> | <ul> <li>The boundaries in this area are not clear-</li></ul> |
| boundary and the Southern edge of                         | cut so it will be particularly important                      |
| Woodhouse Ridge should form the                           | that neighbourhood areas work together                        |
| Northern boundary in order for                            | for wider community benefit. A                                |
| Woodhouse to be able to form its own                      | neighbourhood plan will be required to                        |
| plan. All of Woodhouse Moor, including                    | be in general conformity with local                           |
| Little Moor, should be included in the                    | planning policies, in this to case the                        |
| same plan and this should be a                            | protection of the moor.                                       |

Woodhouse or Hyde Park plan.

- Woodhouse Ridge Action Group (WRAG) supports the proposed forum designation and boundary, and welcomes the inclusion of a substantial proportion of Woodhouse Ridge within the proposal.
- Tunways Residents Association fully supports the proposal.
- The Environment Agency have no objections to the proposed boundary but note that Meanwood Beck (Main River) runs through the North West (near Woodhouse Cliff) of the area and that it falls in flood zone 2 and 3.
- Leeds HMO lobby would like to see the area extended to include all the neighbourhoods within the ambit of the town centre affected by HMOs.
- The Co-op should be included in the Headingley Neighbourhood area.
- Concerns with the lack of restrictions on properties licensed to sell alcohol.
- Ensuring that green paths are given protection should be a concern for the forum.

Comment noted.

- Comment noted.
- Comment noted.
- It is expected that applications will be received from all INW areas. It will be desirable for areas to work together on common issues of interest and concern.
- The Co-op is logically included in the proposed Hyde Park Neighbourhood Area
- This may be an issue that a NP could address.
- Comment noted.

#### **NEIGHBOURHOOD FORUM**

| Objections made to the proposed neighbourhood forum   | Response   |
|---|--|
| • The membership of the forum should be more representative of the local community, with particular emphasis placed on student representation and those aged 19 - 24. It has been demonstrated that 2/3 of the population is aged between: 19-24, yet only 2 of the 34 forum members meet this demographic (6%). Leeds student unions would like to be involved in the process to suggest student members to be part of the forum. In addition, Leeds Trinity would also like to be consulted in order to engage and notify their students regarding matters in the area. | It is agreed that further work should be undertaken to encourage young people to join the forum. |
| Support for the proposed neighbourhood forum  |  |
| <ul> <li>Support has been expressed for the<br/>forum as it has a good mix of members<br/>and a good representation of long term<br/>residents, who have previously struggled<br/>to get 'their voice heard'.</li> </ul>  | • Comments noted.  |

### **Total Objections: 28**

**Objections to Forum Membership: 7** 

Objections to the inclusion of Hyde Park Corner: 21

#### **Total in Support: 24**

**Specific Reference to Boundary: 15** 

**Specific Reference to Hyde Park Corner: 1** 

**Specific Reference to Forum Membership: 10** 

Full Support with no specific reference: 7

**Total 'Neutral' Representations: 3**